#### **Neuro-IT Workshop**

### Bruxelles, 2nd Dec 2003



Department of Biophysical and Electronic Engineering

University of Genoa





Efficient solutions to complex perceptual problems can be found by adopting novel forms of processing based on structural computational paradigms

Computation proceeds by constructing internal "model structures" of the observed dynamic environment



#### **Predictions**



# What can IT do for Neuroscience?

### Infrastructure

Databases Interoperability across different disciplines

## 

Recording techniques

Descriptive models

Interpretative models

# Interpretative vs descriptive models

### **Descriptive models:**

- Character: data-driven
- Primary purpose: to describe phenomena
  NOT explaining them

### Interpretative models:

- Character: problem-driven
- Primary purpose: testable hypotheses on why the nervous system operates as it does

New interpretative models at supraneural scale of observation

 A solution to the problem is formulated through computational resources we expect to be available in the brain

• The "dynamics" of processing of such models would be more directly comparable with the neural observables of the "brain-in-action"

### **Example:** binocular stereopsis 1960 Hubel & Wiesel 1970 De Valois et al. Daugman 1985 Adelson & Bergen 1985 1987 Jones & Palmer **Sanger 1988** 1990 Ohzawa et al. Fleet & Jepson 1990-96 1996 Ohzawa et al. Qian 1997, 2000 2001 Anzai et al.

## **Concluding remarks**

Focus on concrete instances of a more general problem

(e.g., understanding/emulating cognitive functions)

- 1. start from a concrete problem
- 2. define reachable objectives
- avoid mere methodological research for which it is difficult to define criteria to measure the success in achieving the goals