### Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Neurorehabilitation

#### Giovanni Abbruzzese

**Department of Neurosciences, Ophthalmology& Genetics** 



2<sup>nd</sup> European School of Neuroengineering Genova, June 9-12 2004



### **1. Basic principles of TMS**

2. TMS studies on mechanisms of 'plasticity'

**3. Future therapeutic perspectives of TMS in neurorehabilitation** 



# Stimulating the Brain !!







Barker et al., 1985



# Principles of TMS



**Figure 1.7** Simplified schematic diagram of a standard rate magnetic nerve stimulator.





# **Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation**

- Currents induced by rapidly transient magnetic fields with variable flow direction and intensity (1.5–2.5 Tesla)
- The amount of stimulated brain tissue depends on:
  - the stimulus intensity
  - the coil shape





### Magnetic fields with different shaped coils





#### Stimulation is maximal, but not focal with a large circular coil, while a lower but more focal effect is obtained with a figure-of-eight coil.





### **Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation**

Magnetic stimulation (with a round coil parallel to the surface of the brain and threshold intensity) activates the pyramidal tract neurons trans-synaptically (to produce **I**-waves in the pyramidal tract), whereas electrical stimulation activates the axons directly to produce Dwaves





### **Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation**

# Motor evoked potentials (MEPs)

- contralateral distribution
- short latency with proximodistal progression
- variable amplitude
  - (larger in distal muscles)
- sensitivity to voluntary contraction







### **Coil orientation and activated neurons**



All indirect I-waves depend on synaptic input to cortico-spinal neurons



### Cortico-Motoneuronal 'conductivity'

- Presence/Absence of MEPs
- MEP Latency (ms)
- Central Motor Conduction Time (ms)



- loss of axons
- slowing of conduction
- temporal dispersion of impulses



### Cortico-Motoneuronal 'excitability'

#### **MEP** Threshold and Amplitude



Measure of the portion of the spinal motoneurones discharged by TMS



Measure of the number and topographical representation of excitable sites



### Inhibitory effects of TMS





**Silent Period** 



# Paired-pulse TMS



Kujirai et al. 1993



### The physiological role of SICI

Focusing motor cortical excitation onto the pertinent groups of neurons



#### Ridding et al. 1995



Abbruzzese et al. 1999





Hanajima et al. 1998

Werhahn et al. 1999



# **TMS** Applications

#### TMS can be used to:

- Test or measure conduction of descending motor impulses
- Map functional corticomotor representations in the brain
- Assess excitability of brain regions
- Induce a brief functional deactivation of brain regions
- Improve transiently a distinct brain function



# Plasticity

### • Synapse level

changes of EPSP amplitudes

### • Cellular level

changes in single neurons responses

### Regional level

changes in neuronal population responses



## **Remodeling of Neuronal Network**





# Plastic changes of cortical representation in monkeys



#### Merzenick et al. 1990



# **Peripheral Deafferentation**



In patients with amputation of the arm (at the elbow level) motor representation of muscles proximal to the stump were larger.

#### CHANGE IN EXCITABILITY OR MOTOR REPRESENTATION



- Regional anaesthesia or ischaemic nerve block leads to an enlargement of MEPs proximal to the block (Brasil-Neto et al. 1992)
- Sensory deprivation *(Rossini et al. 1996)* or limb immobilization *(Liepert et al. 1997)* can reduce the motor maps of specific muscles

Motor cortex is capable of fast modulating the outputs to specific muscle groups



# Plasticity and stroke

MEPs may be absent in acute stroke and reappear during motor recovery



Traversa et. al. 1997



# Plasticity and stroke

#### The cortical representation of paretic muscles is modified after stroke: - ↓↑ size changes

- topographical shifts



Traversa et. al. 1997



# Plasticity and stroke

Ipsilateral pathway may assist recovery in stroke patients, although ipsilateral MEPs have been documented usually in patients with poor motor recovery

Caramia et al. 1996 – Turton et al. 1996

Some patients with a good motor recovery show in the paretic muscles larger MEPs upon stimulation of the ipsilateral hemisphere



Trompetto et al. 2000



# Plasticity and recovery of bilaterally organized functions



Hamdy et al. 1996

Decreased cortical representation of pharynx muscles in the affected hemisphere

During recovery of swallowing, the cortical representation of pharynx muscles in the affected hemisphere remained small, whereas it increased in the unaffected hemisphere



# TMS and Motor Learning

 In proficient Braille readers the representation of the FDI muscle of the reading hand was significantly larger than in the non-reading hand or in blind controls

Pascual-Leone et al. 1993

Plastic cortical changes may occur in relation to behavior





# **TMS and Motor Learning**



The size of cortical representation of hand muscles increased after 5days learning period of a new skilled task (piano exercise)



# 'Motor Imagery'

- 'Motor Imagery' is a cognitive state characterized by the ability of mentally simulate a motor activity without actually executing it.
- During 'motor imagery' similar nervous mechanisms are operating as during actual execution, but the excitatory output is likely to be balanced by a parallel inhibitory output.



# TMS and Motor Imagery



0

ISI 3

**ISI 12** 



# **Use-dependent Plasticity**

Before: TMS evoked an extension movement

**Training: repetitive flexion movements** 

After: TMS evoked a flexion movement

Demonstration of a shift in cortical excitability produced by natural inputs (repeated practice of an isolated thumb movement)



Classen et al. 1998



## Modulation of practice-dependent plasticity

#### Ischaemic block: $\Rightarrow < GABA_A$



Lorazepam:  $\Rightarrow > GABA_A$ 



Ziemann et al. 2001



### **Rehabilitation in stroke patients**

- Single session of physiotherapy induces an increase of MEP representation, paralleling the dexterity improvement and lasting 24 hrs. *Liepert et al. 2000*
- Synchronous movements of hand and foot induce a short-lasting modulation of motor output

Liepert et al. 1999



### **Rehabilitation in stroke patients**

- 2-weeks 'constraint-induced therapy'
- 1-week conventional + 1-week forced-use 'therapy'

Increase of MEP size and shift of the output map center (recruitment of adjacent motor areas) *Liepert et al. 1998 & 2001* 





# **TMS and Imaging**



The combination of TMS with imaging techniques (PET, fMRI) can be used to investigate the functional connectivity between different cortical areas



**Paus et al. 1997** 

### **Brain plasticity after muscle transfer**

Patient with reconstructed biceps m. innervated by the intercostal nerves.

TMS mapping and fMRI show that the upper limb area rather than the trunk area of the motor cortex controlled the reconstructed muscle.

*Chen et al. 2003* 





# **Repetitive TMS**

 Rapid rate stimulators capable of producing tens of pulses per second in bursts lasting up to 1 minute

• rTMS can transiently change the functional state of the brain



**Fig. 1** Behavior of muscle-evoked potentials (MEPs) in ADM muscle during the conditioning train in a representative subject. Note the increase of MEP size during the train of stimuli. Horizontal calibration is 30 ms and vertical calibration is 0.5 mV





rTMS stimuli can be delivered at various frequencies (0.1 – 50 Hz) producing different changes of cortical excitability

• High frequency (> 1-5 Hz) increases cortical excitability ⇒ FACILITATION Pascual Leone et al. 1994 - Berardelli et al. 1998

 Low frequency (< 1 Hz) decreases cortical excitability ⇒ INHIBITION *Chen et al. 1997*



### Manipulating cortical excitability Associative long-term potentiation

- Stimulation of peripheral sensory afferents for several minutes can lead to long-lasting (1-2 hr) MEP changes *Ridding et al. 2000 Charlton et al. 2003*
- Paired stimulation (rTMS 0.1 Hz + suprathreshold MN shock) increases cortical excitability for 30 min.
   This effect depends on LTP and is blocked by NMDA-antagonists
   *Stefan et al. 2000*



## rTMS in Movement Disorders

- Pascual-Leone et al. 1994 over M1 (subMTh at 5 Hz)

   RT and MT improved pegboard test
- Siebner et al. 1999 After 20 min.: ↓ MT over M1 (subMTh at 5 Hz)
- Siebner et al. 2000 over M1 (subMTh at 5 Hz) After 1 hr.: ↓ UPDRS - After 10 min.: ↑ SP duration
- Ikeguchi et al. 2003

over frontal c. (supraMTh at 0.2 Hz) 6 x 2 weeks

 $\downarrow$  rCBF -  $\downarrow$  UPDRS and improved movements

 Siebner et al. 1999 over M1 (subMTh at 1 Hz) in WC Short-term: normalization SICI and <sup>↑</sup> SP duration Improved writing